Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	nmary	09/688,165	LINDBLOM ET	AL.
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Ian N Moore	2661	
All Participants:		Status f Applicat	on:	-
(1) <u>lan N Moore</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>H. Warren Burnam</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 January 2005		Time: <u>2:15 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica 〗No	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None		·		
Claims discussed: 8 and 18				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING To Claims 8 and 18 include both "a" and "the", "a" should			AT WAS DISCUSSED:	ه-
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the appliof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	separate	e examiner will provide record of the substance	e a written summary of t e of the interview, since	he substance
				•
,				
			•	
Im N mme				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appr	ropriate)